

As the gentleman from Louisiana knows, another provision of ours that was defeated on a straight party line in committee was offered in another form, i.e., his own committee.

But the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. HAYWORTH) mentioned in full committee that he wanted to work with us on this issue. We are now hearing from him for the first time since that committee action, and we are glad to work with the gentleman on this. We need to do more, and we hope that we will be able to join forces in the future and do more for our Indian tribes.

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore (Mr. NETHERCUTT). All time has expired.

The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. HAYWORTH).

The amendment was agreed to.

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 15 printed in part B of House Report 107-178.

AMENDMENT NO. 15 OFFERED BY MR. ROGERS OF MICHIGAN

Mr. ROGERS of Michigan. Mr. Chairman, I offer an amendment.

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Amendment No. 15 offered by Mr. ROGERS of Michigan:

In division F, at the end of subtitle C of title II add the following:

SEC. . ENCOURAGEMENT OF STATE AND PROVINCIAL PROHIBITIONS ON OFFSHORE DRILLING IN THE GREAT LAKES.

(a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds the following:

(1) The water resources of the Great Lakes Basin are precious public natural resources, shared and held in trust by the States of Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and Wisconsin, and the Canadian Province of Ontario.

(2) The environmental dangers associated with off-shore drilling in the Great Lakes for oil and gas outweigh the potential benefits of such drilling.

(3) In accordance with the Submerged Lands Act (43 U.S.C. 1301 et seq.), each State that borders any of the Great Lakes has authority over the area between that State's coastline and the boundary of Canada or another State.

(4) The States of Illinois, Michigan, New York, Pennsylvania, and Wisconsin each have a statutory prohibition of off-shore drilling in the Great Lakes for oil and gas.

(5) The States of Indiana, Minnesota, and Ohio do not have such a prohibition.

(6) The Canadian Province of Ontario does not have such a prohibition, and drilling for and production of gas occurs in the Canadian portion of Lake Erie.

(b) ENCOURAGEMENT OF STATE AND PROVINCIAL PROHIBITIONS.—The Congress encourages—

(1) the States of Illinois, Michigan, New York, Pennsylvania, and Wisconsin to continue to prohibit off-shore drilling in the Great Lakes for oil and gas;

(2) the States of Indiana, Minnesota, and Ohio and the Canadian Province of Ontario to enact a prohibition of such drilling; and

(3) the Canadian Province of Ontario to require the cessation of any such drilling and any production resulting from such drilling.

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 216, the gen-

tleman from Michigan (Mr. ROGERS) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

Does any Member seek time in opposition?

Mr. TAUZIN. Mr. Chairman, I would claim the time in opposition, although I support the amendment.

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. Without objection, the gentleman from Louisiana is recognized to control the time in opposition.

There was no objection.

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. ROGERS).

Mr. ROGERS of Michigan. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Chairman, I would tell the Members that today the tenor of this debate is about balance. There are places that we should be drilling, and there are places that we should not. The debate ought to center around science and not emotion.

We are very fortunate in Michigan to be part of the Great Lakes basin, that has 20 percent of the world's fresh water. The Great Lakes Governors in each of those States took a look at the science of drilling in the Great Lakes. New York, Michigan, Illinois, Wisconsin, all banned offshore drilling in the Great Lakes. No State, as a matter of fact, Mr. Chairman, has allowed offshore drilling to occur.

I want to introduce Members to somebody tonight, Mr. Chairman. I want to introduce somebody that is no friend to the safety and security of our Great Lakes. I want to introduce Mr. Chris.

As we can see, Mr. Chris is the name of this boat that is drilling currently in Lake Erie. As we can see, this is a tugboat with a bad attitude. This is a boat that is bobbing around. I have to tell Members, this picture was taken on an extremely calm day. Lake Erie is a shallow lake, and it tends to roll a lot. To get this picture with the lake this calm is a rare occasion, indeed.

As we can see, or maybe not, there are only two mooring lines that secure what is an oil rig drilling currently in Lake Erie. There are 550 such wells that Canada is operating in Lake Erie today, 550. Think about this. Every Great Lakes Governor, every legislature, has said no, the science does not support offshore drilling in the Great Lakes.

I need some help today. We ought to stand up again and say, look, we understand that there are places that we ought to be drilling. We understand that there are places that we should not be drilling. The science for drilling in the Great Lakes has proven this is not a place that we should be.

I will ask my colleagues tonight to join every Great Lakes Governor, every Great Lakes legislature, and tell Canada to get off of our Great Lakes. Tell them that Mr. Chris has no place here. That tugboat with an attitude ought to be back in shore.

I urge my colleagues' support of this amendment. Let us send a message to Canada to play fair like the rest of the Great Lakes States and protect that 20 percent of the world's fresh water.

Mr. Chairman, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. TAUZIN. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

The gentleman from Michigan's (Mr. ROGERS) amendment simply affirms that the waters of the Great Lakes are a shared responsibility of the bordering States and the Canadian province of Ontario over which the Federal Government has no ownership.

I urge my colleagues to support this amendment. It corrects, I think, an ill-advised move that has occurred last month in the committee that sent a message that a Federal agency, the Corps of Engineers, had some span of control over the Great Lakes, which it clearly does not.

Passage of this amendment will simply clarify that both the waters of the Great Lakes and the subsurface beneath them are controlled by the bordering States or the Canadian province. We would urge its adoption.

Mr. Chairman, I have no further requests for time, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. ROGERS of Michigan. Mr. Chairman, I have no further requests for time, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. ROGERS).

The question was taken; and the Chairman pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. ROGERS of Michigan. Mr. Chairman, I demand a recorded vote.

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. ROGERS) will be postponed.

It is now in order to consider amendment No. 16 printed in part B of House Report 107-178.

AMENDMENT NO. 16 OFFERED BY MR. TRAFICANT

Mr. TRAFICANT. Mr. Chairman, I offer an amendment.

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Amendment No. 16 offered by Mr. TRAFICANT:

Page 191, after line 17, insert the following new section, and make the necessary change to the table of contents:

SEC. 2423. OIL SHALE RESEARCH.

There are authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary of Energy for fiscal year 2002 \$10,000,000, to be divided equally between grants for research on Eastern oil shale and grants for research on Western oil shale.

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 216, the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. TRAFICANT) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

Does any Member seek time in opposition?